

Curatorial Advisers' Conference

9 October 2002

Professional issues

1. Care of collections issues

Documentation

- There are too many choices on what ICT to use. Want more guidance on what is best.
- Manpower problems editing and inputting. For efficiency, one committed staff member is worth 10 who do not know what they are doing.
- Doing basic documentation is low priority for funders, but no documentation means no access means no social inclusion.
- Retrospective documentation is a finite task and to remove Scotland's backlog should be manageable without a huge input of resources. This would restructure what is possible in future, including contemporary collecting.

Buildings

- Buildings drain museums' resources. They are wrong for the services that need to be delivered from them. Need to change public and decisionmaker perceptions from museums as old buildings to fill to museums as public services.
- Restrictions on access. No realistic advice is available on how to meet disabled access requirements in historic buildings. Example cited of Historic Scotland advising that wheelchairs are brought in through a toilet.
- Restrictions on space lead to bad storage and inefficient and ineffective working practices.
- Maintenance is costly, time consuming, and there is too much technical jargon.

Rationalisation

Rationalisation might be worth considering.

2. Building greater capacity

- Building greater capacity means the sector having the money, skills and people to do what the National Audit says we ought to be doing.
- Capacity is currently at a standstill or going down:
 - more to think about
 - less bodies
 - LA training and systems not effective
 - LA museums fail to access useful skill in other departments
- Museums do not have the capacity to deliver or even the capacity to invest in the necessary changes that will improve capacity.
- For there to be cultural change in LAs there must be good governance.
- Capacity is about having the right range of skills at the right time. To make the
 most of volunteers means hiring volunteer organisers; to take on projects means
 having project organisers. Larger organisations may have the range of skills inhouse, but small ones do not.
- We need more:
- skills exchange between organisations
- freelance capacity
- subject specialists.
- Future structures for the sector need to enable the skills sharing to happen. To
 do what the audit says requires us all to work together.
- Need more of everything.
- Museums are not optional.

3. The potential for ICT

- Huge potential via museum collections to develop ICT projects but must address documentation backlogs first and commit to continually sustaining documentation.
- The documentation backlog should be addressed as a whole using flying hit squads of documentation officers.
- We should agree a standard package for [collections management] software, as there is currently too much to choose from.
- A national unified database of Scottish collections, available to users.
- Central training.
- Opportunities for e-mail forums for exchanging information. ICT overcomes isolation.
- Need to develop programmes for knowledge input.
- ICT creates employment and so enhances the economic impact of museums.
- ICT creates opportunities for community participation, for exhibitions and to encourage creativity in visitors.

4. Standards and Performance Indicators

- There are a large number of standards in place already, some obligatory, and a constant deluge of new forms of accountability.
- Need more simplicity.
- Need to clarify standards terminology for the grass roots.
- Local Authorities cannot escape from Pls, so museums need ones that really work for them.
- The sector needs meaningful PIs that demonstrate the value of museums in terms of their outcomes. Social and economic outcomes go unrecognised.
- The PIs for Local Authorities are unfair as not all have a museum development role to assist independent organisations.
- Validity of current PIs is in question. It seems no-one really cares, that they exist only for the public pamphlet.
- Should the government not fund the process of developing PIs for museums?